Ņ

15

HIGHLY ACTIVE FORMS OF INTERFERON REGULATORY FACTOR PROTEINS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Interferons (IFNs) are a large family of multifunctional secreted proteins involved in antiviral 5 defence, cell growth regulation and immune activation (63). Virus infection induces the transcription and synthesis of multiple IFN genes (33,52,63); newly synthesized IFN interacts with neighbouring cells through cell surface receptors and the JAK-STAT signalling pathway, resulting in the induction of over 10 30 new cellular proteins that mediate the diverse functions of the IFNs (17,35,39,58). Among the many virus- and IFN-inducible proteins are the growing family of IRF transcription factors, the Interferon Regulatory Factors (IRFs).

IRF-1 and IRF-2 are the best characterized members of this family, originally identified by studies of the transcriptional regulation of the human IFN- β gene (22,23,30,47). Their discovery preceded the recent expansion of this group of IFN-responsive proteins which now include 20 seven other members: IRF-3, IRF-4/Pip/ICSAT, IRF-5, IRF-6, IRF-7, ISGF3 γ /p48 and ICSBP (48). Structurally, the Myb oncoproteins share homology with the IRF family, although its relationship to the IFN system is unclear (62). Recent evidence also demonstrates the presence of virally encoded 25 analogue of cellular IRFs - vIRF in the genome of human herpes virus 8 (HHV8) (55).

The presence of IRF-like binding sites in the promoter region of the IFNA and IFNB genes implicated the IRF factors as essential mediators of the induction of IFN genes. 30 The original results of Harada et al. (30,32) indicated that IFN gene induction was activated by IRF-1, while the related IRF-2 factor suppressed IFN expression. However, the essential role of IRF-1 and IRF-2 in the regulation of IFNA and IFNB gene expression has become controversial with the observation that 35 mice containing homozygous deletion of IRF-1 or IRF-2, or fibroblasts derived from these mice, induced IFNA and IFNB gene

PCT/CA99/00314

expression after virus infection to the same level as the wild-type mice or cells (44).

On the other hand, IRF-1 was shown to have an important role in the antiviral effects of IFNs (44,54). IRF-1 5 binds to the ISRE element present in many IFN-inducible gene promoters and activates expression of some of these genes (54). However, activation of ISG genes by IFNA and IFNB was shown to be mediated generally by the multiprotein ISGF3 complex (31,36,38). The binding of this complex to DNA is mediated by 10 another member of the IRF family, ISGF3\(\gamma/p48\), which in IFN-treated cells interacts with phosphorylated STAT1 and STAT2 transcription factors forming the heterotrimeric complex ISGF3 (8,39,62). The homozygous deletion of p48 in mice abolished the sensitivity of these mice to the antiviral effects of IFNs, 15 and virus-infected macrophages from p48-/- mice showed an impaired induction of IFNA and IFNB genes (31).

Several other members of the IRF family have been identified. The ICSBP gene is expressed exclusively in the cells of the immune system (18,64) and its expression can be 20 enhanced by IFNγ. ICSBP was shown to form a complex with IRF-1 and inhibit the transactivating activity of IRF-1 (9,59). homozygous deletion of ICSBP in mice leads to defects in myeloid cell lineage development and chronic myelogous leukemia (34). Another lymphoid specific Pip/LSIRF/IRF-4 was identified 25 (19,43,66) that interacts with phosphorylated PU.1, a member of the Ets family of transcription factors (15). The Pip/PU.1 heterodimer can bind to the immunoglobulin light chain enhancer and function as a B cell specific transcriptional activator. Expression of Pip/LSIRF was induced by antigenic stimulation 30 but not by IFN, and Pip/LSIRF/IRF-4 -/- mice failed to develop mature T and B cells (46). A novel member of the IRF family was recently identified by its ability to bind to an ISRE-like element in the promoter region of the Qp gene of EBV (69).

Another unique member of the human IRF family, IRF-3 35 was characterized recently (2). The IRF-3 gene encodes a 55-kDa protein which is expressed constitutively in all tissues. IRF-3 was originally identified as a member of the



IRF family based on homology with other IRF family members and on binding to the ISRE of the ISG15 promoter. The relative levels of IRF-3 mRNA do not change in virus-infected or IFN-treated cells. Recombinant IRF-3 binds to the ISRE element 5 of the IFN-induced gene ISG-15 and stimulates this promoter in transient expression assays. In previous studies, it has been shown that IRF-3 binds to the IE and PRDIII regions of the IFNA and IFNB promoters respectively, but has different effects on their transcriptional activity (56). While the induction of 10 the IFNA4 promoter activated by IRF-1 or virus infection was inhibited in the presence of IRF-3, the fusion protein containing the IRF-3 DNA binding domain and the RelA(p65) transactivation domain effectively activated both IFNA and IFNB promoters. In contrast, co-expression of IRF-3 and RelA 15 plasmids transactivated the IFNB gene promoter, but not the promoter of the IFNA4 gene (56).

Most of the IRF family members so far identified appear to have specific and critical functions in lymphoid cells and/or their action is related to the signalling pathway 20 induced by IFN or viruses. Interestingly, there is recent evidence indicating that the IRF(s) may also play a role in the transcriptional activation of viral promoters. The Qp promoter region of the EBV-encoded gene EBNA-1 contains an ISRE-like element that is responsive to the IRF-1 and IRF-2 as well as to 25 IFN-α. Using a yeast one-hybrid screen technique, a new factor was recently isolated that binds specifically to the Qp ISRE. The amino acid sequence of this protein is identical to the IRF-7 protein present in the Genbank database ((69); accession number U73036). By homology search of the HGF ETS cDNA library 30 the Pitha group has also identified a novel IRF whose sequence is identical to that of IRF-7. At the amino acid level, IRF-7 shows highest homology to IRF-3. Several open reading frames (ORFs) of IRF-7 have been identified. Pagano's group found three shorter ORFs, listed in the database as IRF-7A, B and C 35 ((69), accession nos. U53830, U53831 and U53832, respectively). A new IRF-7 isoform, IRF-7H, was recently identified by Pitha's group ((70), accession number AF076494).

P

In vitro translated IRF-7 encodes a protein of 68 kDa (69, 72). Interestingly, while in vitro translated IRF-7 protein binds effectively to the Qp ISRE, it doesn't seem to affect transcription of Qp-driven reporter constructs in a 5 transient transcription assay (72). In contrast to IRF-3, IRF-7 expression is not generally constitutive but can be effectively induced by IFN- α in fibroblast cells, B-cells and other cells of lymphoid origin (70, 71). Like IRF-3, in uninfected cells, IRF-3 is present mainly in the cytoplasm, 10 virus infection induced phosphorylation of IRF-7, resulting in cytoplasmic to nuclear translocation of phosphorylated IRF-7 and activated gene transcription (70, 71). Recent studies indicate that virus-stimulated phosphorylation of IRF-3 results in the activation of IFNlpha4 and IFNeta gene transcription in 15 murine cells. Once produced and secreted from the infected cell, IFN α 4 and IFN β subsequently feed back on cells through the IFN receptor, stimulate the Jak-STAT pathway and lead to the IFN-responsive activation of another member of the IRF family - IRF-7; up-regulation of IRF-7 production then mediates 20 the induction of non-IFNα4 gene expression (71).

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to IRF proteins that have been modified in the carboxy-terminus domain (transactivation domain) by modification of serine and/or

25 threonine sites. Modification may be achieved by phosphorylation of serine and/or threonine, or by replacement of serine and/or threonine residues with residues having acidic side-chains, preferably carboxylic acid-containing side-chains, such as aspartic acid or glutamic acid residues. Such modified proteins may be mutants of IRF-3 and IRF-7, including chimeric proteins having portions of both IRF-3 and IRF-7, and post-translationally modified (phosphorylated) IRF-3 protein, the phosphorylation being induced by Sendai virus infection.

More specifically, the present invention provides a modified interferon regulatory factor (IRF) protein, the protein comprising at least one modified serine or threonine

□ 10

j

M

15

20

76023-19



phosphoacceptor site in the carboxy-terminus domain, preferably wherein cytokine gene activation by the modified IRF is increased relative to cytokine gene activation by a corresponding wild type IRF protein.

The present invention also provides nucleotide sequences which encode a protein of the invention as described above. Such nucleotide sequences may, for example, be used to modify a target cell of an organism.

The present invention also provides a pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) protein according to the invention, together with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, for the treatment of a viral infection, for example, an influenza infection, a herpes infection, a hepatitis infection or an HIV infection.

The present invention also provides a commercial package containing the IRF protein or pharmaceutical composition according to the invention, together with instructions for its use for the treatment of cancer or of a viral infection, for example, an influenza infection, a herpes infection, a hepatitis infection or an HIV infection.

The present invention further provides use of the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) protein according to the invention to activate a cytokine gene, preferably wherein the cytokine gene is an interferon gene or a chemokine gene.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Figure 1. Sendai virus infection induces IRF-3 degradation. IRF-3 expression plasmid CMVBL-IRF3 (lanes 1 and 2) or CMVBL vector alone (lanes 3 and 4), both at 5 µg were transiently transfected into 293 cells by the calcium

5a

phosphate method. At 24h post transfection, cells were infected with Sendai virus for 16h (lanes 2 and 4) or left uninfected (lanes 1 and 3). Whole cell extracts (20 µg) were prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-IRF-3 antibody.

Figure 2. Sendai virus induced phosphorylation and degradation of IRF-3 protein. A) rtTA-IRF-3 cells, selected as described in Example, were induced to express IRF-3 by doxycycline treatment for 24h. At 24h after Dox addition, cells were infected with Sendai virus for 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, or 24h (lanes 2-7) or were left uninfected (lane 1). IRF-3 protein was detected in whole cell extracts (10 µg) by immunoblot. Two forms of IRF-3 were detected, designated as form I and form II. B) At 24h post Dox induction, rtTA-IRF-3 cells were infected with Sendai virus for 16 hours (lanes 4-8) or were left uninfected (lanes 1-3). Whole cell extracts from untreated

osuvans o



cells (20 μg) or Sendai virus infected cells (60 μg) were
incubated with 0.3 units of potato acidic phosphatase (PPA,
lanes 2, 3, 7 and 8) or 5 units of calf intestinal alkaline
phosphatase (CIP, lanes 4 and 5) in the absence (lanes 1, 2, 4,
6 and 7) or presence of phosphatase inhibitors (lanes 3, 5 and
8). Phosphorylated IRF-3 protein appears as a distinct band in
immunoblots, migrating more slowly than IRF-3 forms I and II.

Figure 3. Analysis of IRF-3 deletion mutants in Sendai virus induced phosphorylation.

- (A) Schematic representation of four IRF-3 deletions. Thick solid lines and thin dashed lines indicate included and excluded sequences, respectively. The N-terminal IRF homology domain, the nuclear export signal (NES) and C-terminal IRF association domain are indicated.
- (B) Expression plasmids (5 μg each) encoding wild type and deletion mutants of IRF-3 (as indicated above the lanes) were transiently transfected into 293 cells; at 24h post transfection, cells were infected with Sendai virus for 16h (lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10) or left uninfected (lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9). Whole cell extracts (20 μg) were prepared from infected and control cells and analyzed by immunoblotting for IRF-3 forms I and II and for the presence of phosphorylated IRF-3 (P-IRF-3) with anti-IRF-3 antibody.

Figure 4. Analysis of IRF-3 point mutations in Sendai 25 virus induced phosphorylation.

- (A) Schematic representation of IRF-3 point mutations.

 Thick solid lines and thin dashed lines indicate included and excluded sequences, respectively. The N-terminal IRF homology domain, the Nes element and C-terminal IRF association domain are indicated. Amino acids residues from 382 to 414 and from 141 to 147 are shown. The amino acids targeted for alanine or aspartic acid substitution are shown in large print. The point mutations are indicated below the sequence: (2A: S396A/S398A; 3A: S402A/T404A/S405A; 5A: S396A/S398A/S402A/T404A/S405A); 5D

 35 S396D/S398D/S402D/T404D/S405D; J2A: S385A/S386A; NES: S145A/S146A).
 - (B) Expression plasmids (5 μ g each) encoding wild type and

point mutants of IRF-3 (as indicated above the lanes) were transiently transfected into 293 cells; at 24h post transfection, cells were infected with Sendai virus for 16h (lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16 and 18) or left uninfected (lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 17). Whole cell extracts (20 µg) were prepared from infected and control cells and analyzed by immunoblotting for IRF-3 forms I and II and for the presence of phosphorylated IRF-3 (P-IRF-3) with anti-IRF-3 antibody.

10 Figure 5. Virus dependent cytoplasmic-nuclear translocation of IRF-3.

The subcellular localization of the GFP-IRF-3 (A and B), GFP-IRF-3(5A) (C and D), GFP-IRF-3(5D) (E and F) and GFP-IRF-3(NES) (G and H) was analyzed in uninfected (A, C, E, and G) and Sendai virus infected COS-7 cells at 16h after infection. GFP fluorescence was analyzed in living cells with a Leica fluorescence microscope using 40x objective.

Figure 6. Transactivation of PRDI/PRDIII and ISRE containing promoters by IRF-3.

- 20 293 cells were transfected with IFN β -CAT (A and B) or ISG15-CAT (C) reporter plasmids and the various expression plasmids as indicated below the bar graph. CAT activity was analyzed at 48h post-transfection with 100 μ g (IFN β -CAT) or 10 μ g (ISG15-CAT) of total protein extract for 1-2h at 37°C.
- Relative CAT activity was measured as fold activation (relative to the basal level of reporter gene in the presence of CMV-Bl vector alone after normalization with co-transfected β -Gal activity); the values represent the average of three experiments with variability shown in the error bar.
- Figure 7. IRF-3 inducible expression of RANTES gene.
- (A) Stimulation of RANTES gene transcription in virus-infected and IRF-3(5D)-expressing cells. The rtTA, IRF-3 and IRF-3(5D) cells were cultured in the presence or absence of Dox as indicated. After 30 hours, cells were either left untreated, infected with Sendai virus (80HAU/ml) for 16 hours, or treated with IFN-a/β (100 IU/ml). The neutralizing antibody

for type I IFN (Sigma) was added at the time of Dox addition.

Total RNA was isolated from each sample and analyzed by RPA using the hCK5 kit (Pharmingen).

- (B) Repression of virus-induced RANTES gene transcription by a dominant-negative form of IRF-3. The rtTA- and
 5 IRF-3(ΔN)-expressing cells were either left untrated or infected with Sendai virus (80 HAU/ml) for 16 hours. Total RNA was isolated from each sample and analyzed by RPA.
- (C) The kinetics of RANTES expression induced by IRF-3 (5D). Total RNA from IRF-3(5D)-expressing cells was isolated from each sample after Dox addition and analyzed by RPA.
 - (D) Cell culture supernatants were analyzed for the presence of RANTES protein by an ELISA performed as specified by the manufacturer (Biosource International).

Figure 8. Stabilization of IRF-3 by proteasome 15 inhibitors.

IRF-3 Δ N (Δ 9-133) (B) or IRF-3 Δ N2A (C) expression plasmids were transiently transfected into 293 cells; at 24h post transfection, cells were infected with Sendai virus and treated for 12h with calpain inhibitor I (100 μ M, lanes 2 and 20 5) or MG132 proteasome inhibitor (40 μ M, lanes 3 and 6). Ethanol, the solvent for calpain inhibitor I and MG132, was added to the cells as control (lanes 1 and 4). Endogenous (A) and transfected (B and C) IRF-3 proteins were detected in whole cell extracts (20 μ g) by immunoblot.

- 25 Figure 9. IRF-3 interacts with CBP in virus infected cells.
- (A) Schematic representation of CBP, illustrating the domains involved in interaction with host or viral proteins (modified from (28)) and the myc-tagged CBP proteins (CBP1, 30 CBP2, CBP3) used for immunoprecipitation.
- (B) 293 cells were transfected with wild type and deletion mutants of IRF-3 expression plasmid (5 μ g, as indicated above the lanes) or left untransfected (lanes 1 and 8). At 24h after transfection, cells were infected with Sendai virus for 16h (lanes 1, 3-8, and 10-13) or left uninfected (lanes 1 and 9). Whole cell extracts (300 μ g, except lane 1, which was 600 μ g) were immunoprecipitated with anti-CBP antibody A22 (lanes 1-6)

or with preimmune serum (lane 7). The immunoprecipitated complexes (lanes 1-7) or 30 μg whole cell extracts (lanes 8-13) were run on 5% SDS-PAGE and subsequently probed with anti-IRF-3 antibody.

- (C) 293 cells were co-transfected with myc-tagged CBP expression plasmids (as indicated above the lanes) and IRF-3 ΔN (Δ9-133) expression plasmid. At 24h after transfection, cells were infected with Sendai virus (lanes 2, 4 and 6) or left uninfected (lanes 1, 3 and 5). Whole cell extracts (300 μg)
 were immunoprecipitated with monoclonal anti-myc-tag antibody 9E10. The immunoprecipitated complexes were run on 5% SDS-PAGE and different forms of IRF-3 in the precipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-IRF-3 antibody.
- (D) Whole cell extracts (30 μ g) from (C) were also analyzed directly for the expression of myc-tagged CBP proteins by immunoblotting using anti-myc antibody 9E10.

Figure 10. The cDNA sequence encoding IRF-3(5D), together with the amino acid sequence of IRF-3(5D).

Figure 11./ Transactivation study as described in 20 Figure 6, using the IFN β -CAT reporter plasmid to indicate the activity of various forms of IRF-3 and IRF-7 and binary mixtures thereof

Figure 12. The cDNA sequence encoding IRF-7A(2D), together with the amino acid sequence of IRF-7A(2D).

Figure 13. The cDNA sequence encoding the IRF-7(1-246)/IRF-3(5D)(132-427) chimeric protein, together with the amino acid sequence of the IRF-7(1-246)/IRF-3(5D)(132-427) chimeric protein.

Figure 14. Transactivation study as described in 30 Figure 6, using the IFN β -CAT reporter plasmid to indicate the relative activity of various forms of IRF-3 and IRF-7, binary mixtures thereof and the chimeric protein IRF-7(1-246)/IRF-3(132-427) (IRF-7N-IRF-3(5D)C in Figure 14).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As used herein, the term "nucleotide sequence" means a DNA or RNA molecule or sequence, and can include, for

I⇒

example, a cDNA, genomic DNA, or synthetic DNA sequence, a structural gene or a fragment thereof, or an mRNA sequence, that encodes an active or functional polypeptide.

Two DNA, RNA or polypeptide sequences are

"substantially homologous" or "structurally equivalent" when
there is at least about 85% (preferably at least about 90%,
more preferably at least about 95%) identity between the
nucleotides or amino acids over a defined length of the
molecule. DNA sequences that are substantially homologous can
be identified in a Southern hybridization experiment under, for
example, stringent conditions, as defined for that particular
system. Appropriate hybridization conditions are within the
knowledge of a person skilled in the art. See, for example,
Maniatis et al., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual. Cold
Spring Harbour Laboratory, New York (1982); Brown, T. A., Gene
Cloning: An Introduction (2nd Ed.) Chapman & Hall, London
(1990).

The results disclosed herein show that phosphorylation represents an important post-translational 20 modification of IRF-3 leading to cytoplasmic-to-nuclear translocation of phosphorylated IRF-3, stimulation of DNA binding and transcriptional activity, association of IRF-3 with the transcriptional co-activator CBP/p300, and ultimately proteasome mediated degradation.

More specifically, the results disclosed herein show that, following Sendai virus infection, IRF-3 may be post-translationally modified by protein phosphorylation at multiple serine and threonine residues, located in the carboxy-terminus of IRF-3.

Furthermore, while modification of functionally relevant (phosphoacceptor) serine and threonine sites may be by phosphorylation, the modification may also be a mutation represented by replacement of at least one of these functionally relevant serine or threonine residues with an amino acid having a carboxylic acid in its side chain, preferably aspartic acid or glutamic acid, more preferably aspartic acid. The preferred mutant form of IRF-3 is that

76023-19

hav 398 pos:

and they are also they

Ú

1.1 The same of the list

15

having aspartic acid residues in at least one of positions 396, 398, 402, 404 and 405 of the sequence, more preferably in positions 396, 398, 402, 404 and 405 of the sequence (IRF-3(5D)) (Figure 10). The preferred mutant form of IRF-7 is that having asparatic acid residues in at least one of positions 477 and 479 of the sequence, more preferable in positions 477 and 479 of the sequence (IRF-7(2D)) (Figure 12).

Also within the score of the invention are chimeric proteins comprising a carboxy-terminus domain of one modified IRF protein, modified as discussed above, and an amino-terminal domain of another IRF protein. Preferably, the amino-terminus of IRF-7 is fused to the carboxy-terminus of modified IRF-3. It is more preferred that the carboxy-terminus of modified IRF-3 is that of IRF-3(5D). Even more preferred is a chimeric protein comprising residues 1 to 246 of IRF-7 and residues 132 to 427 of IRF-3(5D) (Figure 13).

Also within the scope of the invention are proteins which are substantially homologous to the above proteins and which retain the function of those proteins. This includes proteins based on human IRF-3 and IRF-7, as well as corresponding IRF-3 and IRF-7 proteins of other species.

Nucleotide sequences within the scope of the invention are those which encode a protein of the invention. Preferably, the nucleotide sequence is a coding DNA sequence as defined in Figure 10 or a DNA sequence which is hybridizable under stringent conditions with the complement of the coding DNA sequence of Figure 10, which DNA encodes IRF-3(5D). Also, preferably, the nucleotide sequence is a coding DNA sequence as defined in Figure 12 or a DNA sequence which is hybridizable under stringent conditions with the complement of the coding DNA sequence in Figure 12, which DNA encodes IRF-7(2D). Also

preferably, the nucleotide sequence is a coding DNA sequence as defined in Figure 13 or a DNA sequence which is hybridizableunder stringent conditions with the complement of the coding DNA sequence of Figure 13, which DNA encodes IRF-7(1-246)/IRF-3 (132-427) chimeric protein.

A combination of IRF-3 deletion and point mutations localized the inducible phosphorylation sites to the region -ISNSHPLSLTSDO between amino acids 395 and 407; point mutation



of Ser-396 and Ser-398 residues eliminated virus-induced phosphorylation of IRF-3 protein, although residues Ser-402, Thr-404 and Ser-405 were also targets. Phosphorylation results in the cytoplasmic to nuclear translocation of IRF-3, DNA binding and increased transcriptional activation. Substitution of the Ser/Thr sites with the phosphomimetic Asp generated a constitutively active form of IRF-3 that functioned as a very strong activator of promoters containing PRDI/PRDIII or ISRE regulatory elements. Use of phosphomimetic Glu for this purpose is also possible. Phosphorylation also appears to represent a signal for virus mediated degradation, since the virus induced turnover of IRF-3 was prevented by mutation of the IRF-3 Ser/Thr cluster or by proteasome inhibitors.

Interestingly, virus infection resulted in the
association of IRF-3 with the CBP coactivator, as detected by
co-immunoprecipitation with anti-CBP antibody, an interaction
mediated by the C-terminal domains of both proteins. Mutation
of the residues Ser-396 and Ser-398 in IRF-3 abrogated its
binding to CBP. These results are discussed in terms of a
model in which virus-inducible C-terminal phosphorylation of
IRF-3 alters protein conformation to permit nuclear
translocation, association with transcriptional partners and
primary activation of IFN- and IFN-responsive genes.

Sendai virus dependent phosphorylation of IRF-3 was

25 detected, occurring in a cluster of Ser and Thr sites in the
 carboxyl-terminal end of the protein. The residues implicated
 in this regulatory phosphorylation event are
 Ser-396/Ser-398/Ser-402/Thr-404/Ser-405, particularly the
 Ser-396/Ser-398 amino acids. 2) Phosphorylation of the IRF-3

30 in the Ser-Thr cluster resulted in the cytoplasmic to nuclear
 translocation of IRF-3; nuclear translocation was blocked by
 mutation of the phosphorylated amino acids. 3) Sendai virus
 infection induced the DNA binding and transactivation potential
 of IRF-3. Furthermore, IRF-3 containing the phosphomimetic Asp

35 at the sites of C-terminal phosphorylation was an exceptionally
 strong transactivator of PRDI/PRDIII and ISRE containing
 promoters. 4) Phosphorylation was also required for the

association of IRF-3 with the CBP co-activator protein. 5)
Sendai virus infection resulted in IRF-3 degradation; again,
phosphorylation was required as a signal for inducer mediated
degradation since mutation of Ser/Thr cluster also blocked
virus induced degradation.

Cytoplasmic to nuclear translocation of IRF-3 as a consequence of virus infection was inhibited by mutation of the Ser/Thr cluster, indicating an important regulatory role for C-terminal phosphorylation in the activation of IRF-3. Also 10 strikingly, the conversion of the phosphorylation sites to the phosphomimetic Asp altered the subcellular localization of IRF-3 in uninfected cells. A proportion of IRF-3(5D) was localized to the nucleus of uninfected cells, suggesting that some IRF-3 may shuttle to and from the nucleus constitutively; 15 this observation is consistent with the identification of a nuclear export signal in IRF-3. Mutation of L144A/L145A in the NES element produced the most impressive alterations in subcellular localization. In uninfected cells, IRF-3 was partitioned in both the nucleus and cytoplasm; virus infection 20 changed the nuclear pattern of staining from extra-nucleolar homogeneous staining as observed for wtIRF-3 to an intense nuclear speckling. At this stage, the nature of the subnuclear changes in IRF-3 localization are not explained, although it is possible that IRF-3 (NES) translocates efficiently into the 25 nucleus but becomes trapped in the nuclear pore complex during the export process.

One of the striking results of the mutagenesis of the C-terminal domain of IRF-3 was the generation of IRF-3(5D), an exceptionally strong activator of IFN-β and ISG-15 gene

30 expression. The phosphomimetic form of IRF-3 alone was able to stimulate IFN-β expression as strongly as virus infection, a level of stimulation not previously observed in co-expression experiments (24,61). In previous experiments, it has been demonstrated that IRF-3 was able to bind the ISRE element of ISG-15, as well as the PRDIII/PRDI and IE regions of the IFNB and IFNA promoters, respectively (2,56). Virus induction results in the appearance of two new protein-DNA complexes;

supershift experiments confirmed that both complexes contain IRF-3; it is not clear at this stage whether the upper complex also contains other proteins such as in the VIC (10,29) and DRAF (16) complexes or whether the lower complex represents a breakdown product of IRF-3. Strikingly, the same complexes appeared following co-transfection of IRF-3(5D) expression plasmid in the absence of virus induction, indicating that IRF-3(5D) represented a constitutive DNA binding form of IRF-3. Thus, in uninfected cells, IRF-3(5D) localized in part to the nucleus (Fig. 5), interacted with DNA constitutively and was a strong activator of gene expression (Fig. 6).

The recent crystal structure of the related IRF-1 protein bound to PRDI provides evidence for a novel helix-turn-helix motif that latches onto a GAAA core sequence via three of the five conserved tryptophan amino acids of the DNA binding domain (20). By analogy with IRF-3, two GAAANN sequences present in PRDIII of IFN-β and another GAAANN element present in PRDI may serve as DNA contacts for multiple IRF-3(5D) proteins with strong activating potential.

20 Similarly, the ISRE element of the ISG-15 promoter also contains several GAAANN anchors for potential IRF binding. Given the range of promoters that possess this hexameric sequence (48), it will be of interest to determine the capacity of IRF-3(5D) to stimulate expression of different cytokine and chemokine genes.

IRF-3 joins a growing list of cellular and viral proteins that functionally interact with CBP/p300 proteins, highly homologous proteins originally identified through their interactions with adenovirus E1A and CREB proteins (1,13). As a critical determinant of its global transcriptional coactivator activity, CBP/p300 possesses histone acetyltransferase activity (5,50). Acetylation of histones is involved in the destabilization and remodelling of nucleosomes, a crucial step in permitting the accessibility of transcriptional factors to DNA templates. Several studies have now demonstrated that CBP/p300 participates in the transcriptional process by providing a scaffold for different

potential (27).

classes of transcriptional regulators on specific chromatin domains (12,50). A growing body of biochemical and genetic evidence also implicates CBP/p300 as a negative regulator of cell growth, based on its interactions with adenovirus Ela, SV40 large T antigen and the tumour suppressor p53, among others. With regard to p53-CBP/p300 complex formation, functional interaction between these two important growth regulatory proteins accounts for several of the known activities of p53 (3,28,40); interestingly, CBP/p300 was shown recently to acetylate p53 and stimulate its transactivation

15

It will be of interest to determine whether IRF-3 is similarly modified by CBP association. The functional consequences of IRF-3 interaction with CBP/p300 remain to be 15 elucidated, although recent studies demonstrated that CBP/p300 also functionally interacts with STAT 1 (68) and STAT 2 (7) and may contribute to IFNα and IFNγ nuclear signalling. Recently published studies have demonstrated that synergistic activation of the IFN β promoter requires recruitment of CBP/p300 to the 20 enhanceosome, via a new activating surface assembled from the activation domains of all the transcription factors in the enhanceosome (37,45). Alterations in any of the activation domains decreased both CBP recruitment and transcriptional synergy. By analogy, recruitment of CBP/p300 to DNA bound 25 IRF-3 is likely required for maximal transcriptional activation. Association requires the interaction of the C-terminal domain of IRF-3 and the C-terminal interaction domain of CBP, a region previously shown to associate with the p53 tumour suppressor, whereas STAT1 and STAT2 associate with 30 different regions of CBP (7,68).

Virus induced phosphorylation of IRF-3 also represents a signal for proteasome mediated degradation of IRF-3, since mutation of the Ser-396/Ser-398 or the use of proteasome inhibitors prevented the post infection degradation of IRF-3. Virus induced degradation of IRF-3 is reminiscent of the virus-induced turnover of another member of the IRF family - IRF-2. In response to dsRNA or viral induction, the 50 kD

IRF-2 protein is proteolytically processed into a smaller, 24-27 kDa protein (51) comprising the 160 aa DBD of IRF-2, termed TH3 (14) or In4 (65). Although TH3 has been shown to bind DNA and repress transcription more efficiently than the 5 full length IRF-2 protein (42), its physiological role is not Since the induction kinetics of TH3 are slower than that of IFN- β in response to dsRNA or viral infection (14), it has been suggested that the IRF-2 cleavage product may be a post-induction repressor of IFN- β gene expression (65).

Virus induced phosphorylation of IRF-3 at the C-terminal Ser/Thr residues and its subsequent degradation by a proteasome dependent pathway are also similar to the well studied phosphorylation and degradation of IkBa which leads to activation of NF-kB binding activity (reviewed in 4,6). In 15 unstimulated cells, NF-kB heterodimers are retained in the cytoplasm by inhibitory $I \kappa B$ proteins. Upon stimulation by many activating agents, including cytokines, viruses and dsRNA, IxBa is rapidly phosphorylated and degraded, resulting in the release and nuclear translocation of NF-κB. The amino-terminus 20 of $I \kappa B \alpha$ represents a signal response domain for activation of NF-κB and substitution of alanine for either Ser-32 or Ser-36 completely abolished the signal-induced phosphorylation and degradation of $I \kappa B \alpha$, and blocked the activation of $NF - \kappa B$. These mutations also blocked in vitro ubiquitination of the 25 ΙκΒα protein. The amino-terminus of ΙκΒα is necessary for signal-induced phosphorylation and ubiquitination, but for degradation to occur, there is an absolute requirement for the

Similarities and differences exist between the observed degradation of IRF-3 and the mechanism of IRBa degradation. The C-terminal phosphorylation of IRF-3 as a consequence of virus infection is required for its subsequent degradation based on the deletion and point mutation analysis of the region -ISNSAPLSLTSDQ- between amino acids 395 and 407. 35 Minimally, phosphørylation of Ser-396 and Ser-398 are required for subsequent degradation, although Ser-402, Ser-404 and Ser-405 may represent secondary phosphorylation sites.

C-terminal PEST domain (reviewed in 4,6).

Likewise, in the case of $I \kappa B \alpha$, phosphorylation and Ser-32 and Ser-36 are required for inducer mediated degradation. Furthermore, the protease inhibitor calpain inhibitor I and the more specific proteasome inhibitor MG132 block IRF-3 turnover.

A major difference in the mechanisms of IκBα and IRF-3 turnover lies in the nature of the inducing stimuli.

Multiple inducers - cytokines such as TNF and IL-1, viruses, LPS, oxidative stress, etc (6) - all lead to the induction of IκBα phosphorylation and degradation whereas IRF-3

10 phosphorylation appears to be induced only by virus infection and dsRNA addition; other inducers have not resulted in IRF-3 turnover.

A significant temporal difference also exists between $\textsc{I}\kappa \textsc{B}\alpha$ phosphorylation/turnover and IRF-3

phosphorylation/degradation. Many activators of NF-κB stimulate IκBα phosphorylation within minutes and TNF induced degradation occurs within the first 15-30 minute after treatment. In the case of IRF-3, phosphorylation is not detected until 6-8 hours after infection and continues in a heterogenous manner over the next 10-12 hours. Previous experiments have, however, demonstrated that Sendai virus-induced turnover of IκBα also occurs slowly over several hours (24).

Based on the data presented herein and by analogy

25 with the properties of other IRF family members (48), the
following model is proposed to explain several observations.

IRF-3 exists in a latent state in the cytoplasm of uninfected
cells; the C-terminus may physically interact with the DNA
binding domain in such a way as to obscure both the DBD and the

30 IAD regions of the protein; the presence of an autoinhibitory
domain within the C-terminal 20aa (407-427) would explain the
activating effect of this deletion, as seen previously with
IRF-4 (11,19). Virus induced phosphorylation at the Ser/Thr at
396-405aa cluster leads to a conformational change in IRF-3,

35 exposing both the DBD and IAD and relieving C-terminal
autoinhibition. Translocation to the nucleus, occurring via an

unidentified nuclear localization sequence or in conjunction with a transcriptional partner associating through the IAD region, leads to DNA binding at ISRE- and PRDI/PRDIII-containing promoters. Phosphorylation is also necessary for IRF-3 association with the chromatin remodelling activity of CBP/p300. The presence of a NES element ultimately shuttles IRF-3 from the nucleus and terminates the initial activation of IFN responsive promoters. The phosphorylated form of IRF-3 exported from the nucleus may now be susceptible to proteasome mediated degradation. This scenario shares several features with the protein synthesis independent

18

activation of NF-kB, and further suggests that IRF-3 may represent a component of virus- or dsRNA-inducible complexes such as DRAF (16) or VIC (10,29) that could play a primary role in the induction of IFN- or IFN responsive genes.

In view of the above-mentioned properties, and in particular its ability to stimulate an immune response, IRF protein is useful as a tumour suppressor.

The invention is described in more detail in the 20 following examples.

Example 1: Plasmid constructions and Mutagenesis.

EcoRI/XbaI sites of CMVBL vector.

The IRF-3 expression plasmid was prepared by cloning the EcoRI-XhoI fragment containing the IRF-3 cDNA from the pSKIRF-3 plasmid downstream of the CMV promoter of CMVBL

25 vector. CMVt-IRF-3 was constructed by cloning of IRF-3 cDNA downstream of the doxycycline-responsive promoter CMVt at the BamHI site of the neo CMVt BL vector (49). cDNAs encoding IRF-3 carboxyl terminal deletion mutations were generated by 28 cycles of PCR amplification with Vent DNA polymerase. DNA oligonucleotide primers were synthesized using an Applied Biosystems DNA/RNA synthesizer. The amino-terminal primer was synthesized with an EcoRI restriction enzyme site and the carboxyl-terminal primers were synthesized with XbaI restriction enzyme sites at their ends. The PCR products were purified by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, digested with EcoRI and XbaI, and inserted into

The point mutations of IRF-3 were generated by overlap PCR mutagenesis using Vent DNA polymerase. Mutations were confirmed by sequencing.

The N-terminal deletion mutations (AN, AN2A, AN3A and 5 AN5A) of IRF-3 were generated by digestion of the related IRF-3/CMVBL plasmid with BamHI (filled in with Klenow enzyme), partial digestion with ScaI, and re-ligation. GFP-IRF-3 expression plasmids were generated by cloning of cDNAs encoding wild type or mutated forms of IRF-3 into the downstream of EGFP in the pEGFP-C1 vector (Clonetech). For construction of plasmids encoding myc-tagged CBP truncated proteins, the cDNAs coding for CBP were generated from the pRC-RSV/mCBP plasmid (provided by Dr. Dimitris Thanos) by PCR amplification. The cDNA fragments were cloned in the downstream of myc-tag in 5' myc-PCDNA3 vector (provided by Dr. Stephane Richard).

For the construction of pFlag-IRF-7, the IRF-7 cDNA was created by PCR and the resulting product was cloned into pFlag CMV-2 vector. To generate the IRF-7(aa1-246)-IRF-3(5D) (aa132-427) chimera, the cDNA encoding IRF-3 (5D) (aa132-427) was cut out from IRF-3 (5D)/CMVBL plasmid with ScaI and NotI (blunted with Klenow enzyme) and was cloned into pFlag-IRF-7 (digested with SmaI, which removed the C-terminal region of IRF-7 from 247-503) in frame with the IRF-7 N-terminal amino acid sequence (1-246). The point mutations of IRF-7 (D477-

25 D479) were generated by overlap PCR mutagenesis essentially as described above for IRF-3 using Vent DNA polymerase. Codon AGC encoding residues Ser 477 and Ser 479 were mutated to GAC (Asp). Mutations were confirmed by sequencing.

Example 2: Generation of IRF-3 cell lines.

- Plasmid CMVt-rtTA (49) was introduced into 293 cells by a calcium phosphate-based method. Cells were selected beginning at 48h after transfection for about one week in αΜΕΜ media (GIBCO-BRL) containing 10% heat-inactivated calf serum, glutamine, antibiotics and 2.5 ng/μl puromycin (Sigma).
- 35 Resistant cells carrying the CMVt-rtTA plasmid (rtTA-293 cells) were then transfected with the CMVt-IRF-3 plasmid. Cells were selected beginning at 48h for a period of approximately 2 weeks

in α MEM containing 10% heat-inactivated calf serum, glutamine, antibiotics, 2.5 ng/ μ l puromycin and 400 μ g/ml G418 (Life Technologies, Inc.).

Example 3: <u>Cell culture and transfections</u>.

- All transfections for CAT assay were carried out in human embryonic kidney 293 cells or NIH3T3 cells grown in α MEM (293) or Dulbecco's MEM (NIH3T3) media (GIBCO-BRL) supplemented with 10% calf serum, glutamine and antibiotics. Subconfluent cells were transfected with 5 μ g of CsCl purified
- chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) reporter and expression plasmids by calcium phosphate coprecipitation method (293 cells) or lipofectamine (NIH3T3 cells). The reporter plasmids were the $SVo\beta$ CAT and ISG15 CAT reporter genes (56); also the transfection procedures were previously described (41,56). For
- 15 individual transfections, 100 μg (SVo β CAT) or 10 μg (ISG15 CAT) of total protein extract was assayed for 1-2h at 37°C. The CAT activity was normalized with β -Gal assay. All transfections were performed 3-6 times.

Example 4: Western blot analysis of IRF-3 modification and 20 degradation.

To characterize the posttranslational regulation of IRF-3 protein, stable or transiently transfected IRF-3 expressing cells were infected with Sendai Virus (80 HAU/ml) or treated with 5 ng/ml TNF- α , either with or without addition of

- $25~50~\mu g/ml$ cycloheximide. In some experiments, cells were treated with either 100 μM calpain inhibitor I (ICN), 40 μM MG132 proteasome inhibitor, or an equivalent volume of their respective solvent (ethanol) as control. Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and lysed in 10 mm Tris-Cl pH
- 30 8.0, 200 mm NaCl, 1 mm EDTA, 1 mm dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.5% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40), 0.5 mm phenylmethysulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 5 μ g/ml leupeptin, 5 μ g/ml pepstatin, and 5 μ g/ml aprotinin. Equivalent amounts of whole cell extract (20 μ g) were subject to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
- 35 (SDS-PAGE) in a 10% polyacrylamide gel. After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred to Hybond transfer membrane (Amersham) in a buffer containing 30 mm This, 200 mm glycine

and 20% methanol for 1h. The membrane was blocked by incubation in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 5% dried milk for 1h and then probed with IRF-3 antibody in 5% milk/PBS, at a dilution of 1:3000. These incubations were done at 4°C overnight or at RT for 1-3h. After four 10 minute washes with PBS, membranes were reacted with a peroxidase-conjugated secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody (Amersham) at a dilution of 1:2500. The reaction was then visualized with the enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (ECL) as recommended by the manufacturer (Amersham Corp.).

Example 5: Phosphatase treatment.

Twenty to sixty μg of whole cell extract were treated with 0.3 units of potato acidic phosphatase (Sigma) in a final volume of 30 μl PIPES buffer (10 mm PIPES pH 6.0, 0.5 mm PMSF,

- 15 5 μ g/ml aprotinin, 1 μ g/ml leupeptin, and 1 μ g/ml pepstatin) or 5 units of calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (Pharmacia) in 30 μ l CIP buffer. The phosphatase inhibitor mix contained 10 mm NaF, 1.5 mm Na₂MoO₄, 1 mm β -glycerophosphate, 0.4 mm Na₃VO₄ and 0.1 μ g/ml okadaic acid.
- 20 Example 6: Subcellular localization of GFP-IRF-3 proteins.

 To analyse the subcellular localization of wild type and mutated forms of IRF-3 proteins in uninfected and virus infected cells, the GFP-IRF-3 expression plasmids (5 μg) were transiently transfected into COS-7 cells by the calcium phosphate coprecipitation method. For virus infection, transfected cells were infected with Sendai virus (80 hemagglutinating units per mL for 2h) at 24h post transfection. GFP fluorescence was analyzed in living cells with a Leica
- 30 Example 7: <u>Electromobility Shift Assay.</u>

fluorescence microscope using a 40x objective.

Nuclear extracts were prepared from 293 cells at different times after infection with Sendai virus (80HAU/ml). In some experiments, extracts were prepared from cells transfected with different IRF-3 expression plasmids, as indicated in individual experiments. Cells were washed in Buffer A [10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9; 1.5 mm MgCl₂; 10 mM KCl; 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT); and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride

(PMSF)] and were resuspended in Buffer A containing 0.1% NP-40.
Cells were then chilled on ice for 10 minutes before
centrifugation at 10,000 g. Pellets were then resuspended in
Buffer B (20mM HEPES, pH 7.9; 25% glycerol; 0.42 M NaCl; 1.5 mM
5 MgCl₂; 0.2 mM EDTA; 0.5 mM DTT; 0.5 mM PMSF; 5 μg/ml leupeptin;
5 μg/ml pepstatin; 0.5 mM spermidine, 0.15 mM spermine; and 5
μg/ml aprotinin). Samples were incubated on ice for 15 minutes
before being centrifuged at 10,000 g. Nuclear extract
supernatants were diluted with Buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9;
20% glycerol; 0.2 mM EDTA; 50 mM KCl; 0.5 mM DTT; and 0.5 mM
PMSF). Nuclear extracts were subjected to EMSA by using a
32P-labelled probe corresponding to the PRDIII region of the
IFN-β promoter (5'-GGRAAACTGAAAGGG-3') or the ISRE region of
the ISG-15 promoter (5'-GATCGGGAAAGGGAAACCGAAACTGAAGGC-3').

The resulting protein-DNA complexes were resolved by 5% polyacrylamide gel and exposed to X-ray film. To demonstrate the specificity of protein-DNA complex formation, 125-fold molar excess of unlabelled oligonucleotide was added to the nuclear extract before adding labelled probe.

20 Example 8: Immunoprecipitation and Western analysis of CBP associated proteins.

Whole cell extract (300 μg) were prepared from either transfected or untransfected cells and precleared with 5 μl of preimmune rabbit serum and 20 μl of protein A-Sepharose beads (Pharmacia) for 1 hour at 4°C. The extract was incubated with 10 μl of anti-CBP antibody A-22 (Santa Cruz) or 2 μl anti-myc antibody 9E10 (21) and 30 μl of protein A-Sepharose beads for 2-3 hours at 4°C. Precipitates were washed 5 times with lysis buffer, eluted by boiling the beads 3 minutes in 1x SDS sample 30 buffer. Eluted proteins were separated by SDS PAGE, transferred to Hybond transfer membrane. Membranes were incubated with anti-IRF-3 (1:3000) or anti-myc antibody 9E10 (1:1000). Immunocomplexes were detected by using a chemiluminescence-based system.

The results of the above examples are summarized below.

23

Virus induced phosphorylation of IRF-3 protein.

IRF-3 is expressed constitutively in various cells and its expression is not enhanced by viral infection or by IFN treatment. To investigate whether the IRF-3 protein is 5 regulated by post-translational modification after virus infection, 293 cells were transiently transfected with an IRF-3 expression plasmid and subsequently infected with Sendai virus 24h later. In cells transfected with CMVBL vector alone, endogenous IRF-3 protein was easily detected using a polyclonal 10 IRF-3 antibody and in cells transfected with the IRF-3 expression plasmid, IRF-3 protein levels were significantly increased (Fig.1, lanes 1 and 3). Interestingly, Sendai virus infection resulted in two alterations in the expression of IRF-3: 1) an overall decrease in the amount of IRF-3 in 15 transfected and control cells (Fig. 1, lanes 2 and 4) and the generation of a more slowly migrating form of IRF-3 (Fig. 1, compare lanes 1 and 2). In all experiments, the turnover of IRF-3 after virus infection was more pronounced with the endogenous protein than with the transfected proteins (see 20 Fig.1, as well as others). Because the transfected proteins were driven by the CMV promoter, ongoing synthesis of transfected IRF-3 may partially obscure the turnover of IRF-3.

The kinetics of virus-induced modification of IRF-3 were characterized in a 293 cell line that expressed IRF-3

25 inducibly under the control of the tetracycline responsive promoter CMVt (25,26). Infection of this cell line (designated rtTA-IRF-3) with Sendai virus resulted in a decrease in the amount of IRF-3 between 12 and 24h after infection (Fig. 2A). Two forms of IRF-3 protein (designated I and II) were detected in uninfected cells (Fig. 2A, lane 1) and following virus infection, a third slowly migrating form of IRF-3 was also detected (Fig.2A, lanes 4-7). To determine whether the slowest form of IRF-3 was due to virus-induced phosphorylation (P-IRF-3), the different forms of IRF-3 were subjected to treatment in vitro with potato acidic phosphatase (PPA) or calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (CIP) and/or phosphatase inhibitors (Fig. 2B). These treatments did not affect the

mobilities of forms I and II in uninfected cells (Fig. 2B, lanes 1-3). However, in rtTA-IRF-3 expressing 293 cells infected with Sendai virus for 12h, an additional slowly migrating, presumably phosphorylated form of IRF-3 was also detected (Fig. 2B, lane 6); this form of IRF-3 completely disappeared following CIP or PPA treatment (Fig.2B, lanes 6 and 7) but was maintained in the presence of CIP/PPA when phosphatase inhibitors were also added to the reaction (Fig. 2B, lanes 5 and 8).

10 Mapping the IRF-3 phosphorylation sites.

A series of deletions of IRF-3 were generated to identify the virus-induced phosphorylation site(s) of IRF-3 (Fig. 3A). 293 cells were transiently transfected with IRF-3 deletion mutants and the virus mediated phosphorylation was 15 measured by immunoblotting (Fig. 3B). The results indicated that a virus-induced phosphorylation of IRF-3 occurs at the C-terminal end of IRF-3 since the mutations that contained only the N-terminal part of IRF-3 protein (133, 240, 328, 357 or 394aa) were not phosphorylated (Fig. 3B). Full length and 20 407aa forms of IRF-3 were phosphorylated as a consequence of virus infection (Fig. 3B, lanes 1-4). C-terminal truncation of IRF-3 to a protein of 394 or 357aa removed the site(s) of inducible phosphorylation (Fig. 3B, lanes 5-8), although the shortened versions of forms I and II were still observed. Also 25 in the IRF-3 $\Delta 9$ -133 mutation (ΔN) which had the DNA binding, N-terminal amino acids (aa9 to aa133) removed, both virus induced phosphorylation of IRF-3 and the differential migration of the shortened forms I and II were easily detected (Fig. 3B, lanes 9 and 10). Degradation of the endogenous forms of IRF-3 30 by virus infection was also detected in this experiment

Thus, by deletion analysis, a phosphorylation domain of IRF-3 protein was localized to the region -ISNSHPLSLTSDQ-between amino acids 395 and 407. Point mutations in the

(compare Fig. 3B, lanes 7 and 9 with lanes 8 and 10).

35 several putative Ser and Thr phosphorylation residues within this region were generated in the full length protein and the Δ9-133 (ΔN) protein (Fig. 4A). In the IRF-3 cDNA encoding

these proteins, the Ser-396/Ser398/Ser-402/Thr-404/Ser-405 residues were replaced by alanine (5A), as were the three residues Ser-402/Thr-404/Ser-405 (3A) and the two residues Ser-396/Ser-398 (2A). Transfection of these plasmids into 293 5 cells and subsequent virus infection revealed that full length wild type IRF-3 was phosphorylated (Fig. 4B, lanes 4 and 8), whereas the IRF-3 proteins containing 2A and 5A mutations were no longer phosphorylated in virus infected cells (Fig. 4B, lanes 6 and 10). Interestingly, IRF-3-3A was also very weakly 10 phosphorylated as a consequence of virus infection, thus implicating Ser-402/Thr-404/Ser-405 as potential secondary sites of phosphorylation. Using the AN IRF-3 protein and the relevant point mutations, phosphorylation was detected with AN (Fig. 4B, lane 12) but not with $\Delta N-2A$ and $\Delta N-5A$ (Fig. 4B, 15 lanes 14 and 18); likewise, AN-3A displayed very weak

phosphorylation (Fig. 4B, lane 16).

These experiments thus implicate Ser-396 and Ser-398 as critical sites of virus-induced phosphorylation of IRF-3; however, Ser-402/Thr-404/Ser-405 residues also contribute to 20 the observed phosphorylation, since the migration of phosphorylated $\Delta N-3A$ is significantly faster than ΔN and the phosphorylation level is decreased (Fig. 4B, lanes 12 and 16). Another study suggested the involvement of the Ser residues at aa385 and 386 as potential phosphoacceptor sites (67).

25 However, in studies with the S385A/S386A mutation, no evidence was found for inducible phosphorylation at these sites. Nevertheless, since these sites represent consensus sites for CKI and CKII, constitutive phosphorylation is a possibility. IRF-3 phosphorylation induces cytoplasmic to nuclear

30 translocation of IRF-3.

Initial studies indicated that IRF-3 was localized in the cytoplasm of uninfected cells (67); to investigate the role of phosphorylation on IRF-3 localization, wild type and point mutated forms of IRF-3 were linked to green fluorescent protein 35 (GFP), transfected into COS-7 cells and examined for Sendai virus induced changes in subcellular localization (Fig. 5). In uninfected cells, GFP-IRF-3 localized exclusively to the

cytoplasm; Sendai virus infection resulted in translocation of IRF-3 to the nucleus within 8h in 90-95% of the cells (Fig. 5A and B). Mutation of the Ser/Thr cluster in GFP-IRF-3(5A) completely abrogated virus-induced cytoplasmic to nuclear 5 translocation (Fig. 5, C and D). Interestingly, the substitution of the Ser/Thr cluster with the phosphomimetic Asp in GFP-IRF-3(5D) likewise altered subcellular localization. IRF-3(5D) localized both to the nucleus and cytoplasm in uninfected cells (Fig. 5E), while virus infection resulted in 10 an intense nuclear pattern of IRF-3(5D) fluorescence (Fig. 5F). Point mutation of a putative nuclear export signal in IRF-3, the L145A/L146A modification - termed IRF-3(NES) - also changed subcellular localization of IRF-3. In uninfected cells, GFP-IRF-3 (NES) was localized to the nucleus and cytoplasm, with 15 a homogeneous, extra-nucleolar pattern of nuclear staining. After virus infection, GFP-IRF-3 (NES) localized to the nucleus with an intense speckled pattern of nuclear fluorescence in greater than 95% of the cells, suggesting that IRF-3(NES) may be trapped in the nucleus associated with the nuclear pore 20 complex.

Transactivation of PRDI/PRDIII and ISRE promoters by IRF-3.

Next, the capacity of IRF-3 to regulate gene expression was analysed by transient transfection in human 293 and murine NIH3T3 cells using the IFN β and ISG-15 promoters in 25 reporter gene assays. Expression of NF-κB RelA(p65), IRF-1 and IRF-3 alone minimally induced IFN β promoter activity between 3 to 4 fold (Fig. 6A and B), as shown previously (24,56,61). Introduction of the C-terminal point mutants - IRF-3(2A), IRF-3(3A) IRF-3(5A) - reduced the low transactivation capacity 30 of IRF-3 to control levels (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, deletion of the C-terminal 20aa of IRF-3 to IRF-3(407) stimulated IFN β activity about 6 fold, indicative of the removal of an inhibitory domain in IRF-3. However, further deletion to 394, 357 or 240 abrogated transactivation potential (Fig. 6A). 35 Mutation of the NES element was not sufficient to stimulate IFN β activity. Strikingly, the substitution of the Ser/Thr cluster at aa397-405 in IRF-3 with the phosphomimetic Asp

generated a very strong, constitutive transactivator protein that alone stimulated the IFN β promoter 90 fold.

As shown previously, high level induction of the IFNB promoter requires synergistic activation by NF-kB and IRF 5 proteins (24,61). To analyse the properties of IRF-3 in synergistic activation of the IFN β promoter, co-expression studies were performed using RelA(p65) expression plasmid and different wild type and mutant forms of IRF-3 (Fig. 6B). Co-expression of RelA and IRF-1 or RelA and IRF-3 stimulated 10 IFN β -CAT activity by 20-25 fold. IRF-3(407) and RelA(p65) stimulated IFN β activity about 40 fold, supporting the idea of the removal of an inhibitory domain in IRF-3, whereas both the IRF-3(394) and the IRF-3(NES) failed to synergise with RelA in the activation of the IFN β promoter. RelA and IRF-3 (NES) 15 produced a relatively weak 8 fold induction of IFN β expression, indicating that nuclear localization is not sufficient for IRF-3 activation. The combination of RelA and IRF-3 (5D) produced an 80 fold stimulation of IFN β promoter activity (Fig. 6B); together with the above data, IRF-3(5D) alone appears to 20 be capable of full stimulation of the IFN β promoter and further synergy with RelA is not observed (compare Fig. 6A and B). Surprisingly, IRF-3(5A) and RelA produced a 30 fold stimulation, suggesting that 5A can still synergise with RelA, despite mutation of the Ser/Thr cluster.

The transactivation potential of IRF-3 was also analysed using the ISG-15 promoter, an ISRE containing regulatory element (Fig. 6C). As shown previously (2), and above for the IFNβ promoter, IRF-3 alone weakly activated the ISG-15 promoter; in the context of this regulatory element, IRF-3 was weaker than IRF-1, which produced a 9 fold stimulation. Again deletion of the C-terminal 20aa of IRF-3 generated a protein that stimulated gene expression; with the ISG-15 promoter, a 12 fold induction was observed; IRF-3(394) and IRF-3(357) did not stimulate gene expression but rather slightly repressed ISG-15. Again remarkably, IRF-3(5D) produced a 50 fold induction of the ISG-15 promoter (Fig. 6C),

thus demonstrating that substitution of the Ser/Thr sites with



the phosphomimetic Asp generated a constitutively active form of IRF-3 that functioned as a very strong activator of promoters containing PRDI/PRDIII or ISRE regulatory elements. Activation of RANTES Transcription by IRF-3 and Virus

Chemokine expression is demonstrated in Figure 7, the chemokine being RANTES (Regulated on Activation Normal T-cell Expressed and Secreted) protein. IRF-3-inducible cells were used to determine whether other cytokine-chemokine genes may be regulated by IRF-3; an (Rnase Protection Analysis (RPA) with 10 multiple human cytokine-chemokine probes (Pharmingen) was used to examine RNA derived from rtTA-IRF-3 or rtTA-IRF-3(5D) cells. Strikingly, the RANTES gene was highly expressed in the IRF-3(5D)-inducible cells, as well as in virus-infected cells (Fig. 7A, lanes 3, 5, and 7) but not in uninfected rtTA- or wt IRF-3-15 expressing cells (Fig. 7A, lanes 1 and 4). Since IRF-3(5D) was a strong transactivator of the IFN- β promoter in transient transfection assays, the possibility of an autoregulatory effect of IFN- α/β expression on transcription of RANTES promoter via JAK-STAT activation was considered. Activation of 20 RANTES did not occur secondary to the production of IFN- α/β , since RANTES mRNA was not detected in control rtTA-expressing cells treated directly with IFN- α/β (Fig. 7A, lane 2); furthermore, addition of neuralizing antibody directed against type I IFN did not block the stimulation of RANTES gene 25 expression by IRF-3(5D) (Fig. 7A, lane 8). Other experiments also demonstraed that IRF-3 itself was not activated by IFN treatment (13a). Inducible expression of RANTES in cells stably expressing a dominant-negative form of IRF-3 which lacks the N-terminal amino acids 9 to 133 and does not bind to DNA 30 was also examined. As shown in Fig. 7B, RANTES gene transcription was indcued by Sendai virus in control (rtTA) cells (Fig. 7B) but not in IRF-3 (AN)-expressing cells (Fig. This experiment indicates that a non-DNA binding, dominant-negative mutant of IRF-3 is able to block completely 35 virus-induced activation of RANTES transcription.

The kinetics of IRF-3 transgene induction and RANTES mRNA expression were characterized at various times following

Dox induction. IRF-3(5D) was detected at 8 to 12 hours with peak levels at 24 hours following Dox addition. RANTES mRNA was first detectable at 18 hours after Dox induction with peak levels at 40 hours (Fig. 7C, lanes 5 to 10). Induction of 5 RANTES protein expression as detected by ELISA (Fig. 7D) was first observed at 12 hours after Dox induction of IRF-3, in good agreement with the mRNA levels, and accumulated thereafter with a dramatic increase between 24 and 32 hours after stimulation, also in agreement with mRNA levels. 10 possibility that IRF-3(5D) may be directly activating another transcription factor such as NF-kB, which in turn would stimulte RANTES transciption, was also considered. No evidence for IRF-3(5D)-mediated activation of NF-kB DNA binding activity was observed. Similarly, IRF-3(5D) expression did not activate 15 the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-long terminal repeat, a complex promoter controlled by NF-kB and other transcription factors (data not shown).

Inhibition of IRF-3 degradation.

Another consequence of virus infection is the

20 degradation of the IRF-3. Since phosphorylation of proteins is
functionally associated with the process of protein degradation
via the ubiquitin-dependent proteasome pathway (53,57,60), the
effect of proteasome inhibitors on virus-induced turnover of
IRF-3 was examined. In cells transfected with the AN and ANSA

25 forms of IRF-3 virus induced degradation of full length

- 25 forms of IRF-3, virus induced degradation of full length (endogenous) forms of IRF-3 (Fig. 8A, lanes 1 and 4) and the truncated ΔN (Fig. 8B, lanes 1 and 4) was detected. Addition of the protease inhibitor calpain inhibitor I or the proteasome inhibitor MG132 blocked virus-induced IRF-3 degradation (Fig.
- 30 8A and 8B, lanes 4-6). Particularly with the ΔN protein, the accumulation of the phosphorylated form of ΔN was also detected in virus infected cells (Fig. 8B, lanes 5 and 6), suggesting that phosphorylation of IRF-3 may represent a signal for subsequent degradation by the proteasome pathway. To confirm
- 35 this idea, the 5A point mutated form of IRF-3 was analysed; the IRF-3-ΔN5A protein was resistant to virus induced degradation (Fig. 8C, lanes 1 and 4); no further stabilization of



IRF-3-ΔN5A occurred with calpain inhibitor I or MG132 addition and no phosphorylated IRF-3 was detected (Fig. 8C, lanes 4-6). These experiments demonstrate that virus dependent phosphorylation of the C-terminal of IRF-3 represents a signal for subsequent proteasome mediated degradation.

Interaction between IRF-3 and CBP in virus infected cells.

To examine the possibility that IRF-3 associated with the co-activator CBP/p300 (Fig. 9A) following Sendai virus infection, CBP was immunoprecipitated from virus-infected cells 10 with anti-CBP antibody; an immunoblot for IRF-3 revealed that IRF-3 was co-precipitated from virus-infected cells but not from uninfected cells (Fig. 9B, lanes 2 and 3). interaction was observed clearly in cells co-transfected with the IRF-3 expression plasmid (Fig. 9B, lane 3) but was not 15 seen when the immunoprecipitation was performed with pre-immune serum (Fig. 9B, lane 7). The endogenous IRF-3 also co-precipitated from virus-infected cells (Fig. 9B, lane 1). However, mutation of the Ser/Thr residues identified as the virus inducible phosphorylation sites abrogated the association In particular, IRF-3(2A) and IRF-3(5A) were 20 of IRF-3 with CBP. detected in whole cell extract immunoblot but not in the CBP immunoprecipitate (Fig. 9B, compare lanes 4 and 6 with lanes 11 and 13). With the IRF-3(3A) mutant, interaction with CBP was still observed (Fig. 9B, lane 5). The high background in all 25 lanes represents secondary antibody reactivity with rabbit IgG from the immunoprecipitation. Immunoblot analysis of the whole cell extracts revealed that phosphorylated IRF-3, as well as forms I and II were present in virus infected cells (Fig. 9B, lane 10) and in cells transfected with 2A, 3A and 5A the forms 30 I and II were observed but not the phosphorylated form of IRF-3 (Fig. 9B, lanes 11-13).

CBP has several domains that bind transcription factors, designated CBP1, CBP2, and CBP3 respectively (Fig. 9A, reviewed in (28)). To determine which domain of CBP interacts with IRF-3, the three specific subdomains were myc-tagged at the 5' end by subcloning into the pCDNA3 vector (Fig. 9A). 293 cells were co-transfected with these myc-tagged CBP expression

plasmids together with the IRF-3 ΔN ($\Delta 9$ -133) expression plasmid. At 24h after transfection, cells were infected with Sendai virus, co-immunopreciptated with anti-myc antibody 16h later (21) and then immunoblotted for IRF-3. Endogenous IRF-3 and transfected IRF-3 ΔN proteins co-precipitated with CBP-3 from virus-infected cells but not from uninfected cells (Fig. 9C, lane 6). In cells co-transfected with CBP-1 and CBP-2, no endogenous or transfected ΔN IRF-3 was detected (Fig. 9C, lanes 1-4). Immunoblot analysis of the whole cell extracts revealed that all three myc-tagged CBP proteins were efficiently expressed in uninfected and virus infected cells (Fig. 9D). These results demonstrate that IRF-3 binds to the C-terminal domain of CBP in virus infected cells and interaction with CBP requires Ser-396/Ser-398 phosphorylation of IRF-3 but not at Ser-402/Thr-404/Ser-405.

Figure 11 shows the relative activity of various forms of IRF-3 and IRF-7, and binary mixtures thereof, in transactivation studies. Both the IRF-3(5D) and IRF-7(2D) mutants show increased activity relative to their corresponding wild-type proteins. There is a synegistic effect present when both proteins are present, and this effect is most pronounced in a mixture of the IRF-3(5D) and IRF-7(2D) (D477/479) mutants.

Figure 14 shows that the chimeric protein IRF-7(1-246)/IRF-3(5D)(132-427) has a markedly increased activity over the mixture of the IRF-3(5D) and IRF-7(2D) (D477/479) mutants.

A pharmaceutical composition may be prepared, with a protein of the invention as active ingredient, for the treatment of a viral infection, such as an influenza infection, 30 a herpes infection or an HIV infection.

The pharmaceutical compositions of the present invention may be formulated in a conventional manner using one or more pharmaceutically acceptable carriers. Thus, the active compounds of the invention may be formulated for oral, buccal, transdermal (e.g., patch), intranasal, parenteral (e.g., intravenous, intramuscular or subcutaneous) or rectal

administration or in a form suitable for administration by inhalation or insufflation.

32

For oral administration, the pharmaceutical compositions may take the form of, for example, tablets or 5 capsules prepared by conventional means with pharmaceutically acceptable excipients such as binding agents (e.g. pregelatinised maize starch, polyvinylpyrrolidone or hydroxypropyl methylcellulose); fillers (e.g., lactose, microcrystalline cellulose or calcium phosphate); lubricants 10 (e.g., magnesium stearate, talc or silica); disintegrants (e.g., potato starch or sodium starch glycollate); or wetting agents (e.g., sodium lauryl sulphate). The tablets may be coated by methods well known in the art. Liquid preparations for oral administration may take the form of, for example, 15 solutions, syrups or suspensions, or they may be presented as a dry product for constitution with water or other suitable vehicle before use. Such liquid preparations may be prepared by conventional means with pharmaceutically acceptable additives such as suspending agents (e.g., sorbitol syrup, 20 methyl cellulose or hydrogenated edible fats); emulsifying agents (e.g., lecithin or acacia); non-aqueous vehicles (e.g., almond oil, oily esters or ethyl alcohol); and preservatives (e.g., methyl or propyl p-hydroxybenzoates or sorbic acid).

For buccal administration the composition may take the form of tablets or lozenges formulated in conventional manner.

The active compounds of the invention may be formulated for parenteral administration by injection, including using conventional catherization techniques or infusion. Formulations for injection may be presented in unit dosage form, e.g., in ampules or in multi-dose containers, with an added preservative. The compositions may take such forms as suspensions, solutions or emulsions in oily or aqueous vehicles, and may contain formulating agents such as suspending, stabilizing and/or dispersing agents.

Alternatively, the active ingredient may be in powder form for

reconstitution with a suitable vehicle, e.g., sterile pyrogenfree water, before use.

The active compounds of the invention may also be formulated in rectal compositions such as suppositories or retention enemas, e.g., containing conventional suppository bases such as cocoa butter or other glycerides.

For intranasal administration or administration by inhalation, the active compounds of the invention are conveniently delivered in the form of a solution or suspension from a pump spray container that is squeezed or pumped by the patient or as an aerosol spray presentation from a pressurized container or a nebulizer, with the use of a suitable propellant, e.g., dichlorodifluoromethane, trichlorofluoromethane, dichlorotetrafluoroethane, carbon

- dioxide or other suitable gas. In the case of a pressurized aerosol, the dosage unit may be determined by providing a valve to deliver a metered amount. The pressurized container or nebulizer may contain a solution or suspension of the active compound. Capsules and cartridges (made, for example, from
- 20 gelatin) for use in an inhaler or insufflator may be formulated containing a powder mix of a compound of the invention and a suitable powder base such as lactose or starch.

The protein of the invention can also be made available using gene therapy. The DNA encoding the protein can be introduced to cells of an organism at a target site, for example, by a viral vector, by electroporation, by cotransfection with a selectable marker, or by DNA vaccine.

REFERENCES

- 1. Arany, Z., Sellers, W.R., Livingston, D.M. and Eckner, R.
- 30 1994. E1A-associated p300 and CREB-associated CBP belong to a conserved family of coactivators. Cell 77:799-800.
 - 2. Au, W.-C., Moore, P.A., Lowther, W., Juang, Y.-T. and Pitha, P.M. 1995. Identification of a member of the interferon regulatory factor family that binds to the
- 35 interferon-stimulated response element and activates expression

- of interferon-induced genes. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 92:11657-11661.
- Avantaggiati, M.L., Ogryzko, V., Gardner, K., Giordano, A.,
 Levine, A.S. and Kelly, K. 1997. Recruitment of p300/CBP in
 p53-dependent signal pathways. Cell 89:1175-1184.
 - 4. Baldwin, A.S.Jr. 1996. The NF-κB and IκB proteins: new discoveries and insights. Annu.Rev.Immunol. 14:649-681.
- 5. Bannister, A.J. and Kouzarides, T. 1996. The CBP coactivator is a histone acetyltransferase. Nature 10 384:641-643.
 - 6. Beauparlant, P. and Hiscott, J. 1996. Biological and biochemical inhibitors of the NF- κ B/Rel proteins and cytokine synthesis. CytGrowthFactRev 7:175-190.
 - 7. Bhattacharya, S., Eckner, R., Grossman, S., Oldread, E.,
- 15 Arany, Z., D'Andrea, A. and Livingston, D.M. 1996. Cooperation of Stat2 and p300/CBP by interferon-α. Nature 383:344-347.
 - 8. Bluyssen, H.A.R., Durbin, J.E. and Levy, D.E. 1996. ISGF3γ p48, a specificity switch for interferon activated
- 20 transcription factors. CytGrowthFactRev 7:11-17.
 - 9. Bovolenta, C., Driggers, P.H., Marks, M.S., Medin, J.A., Politis, A.D., Vogel, S.N., Levy, D.E., Sakaguchi, K., Appella, E., Coligan, J.E. and Ozato, K. 1994. Molecular interactions between interferon consensus sequence binding protein and
- 25 members of the interferon regulatory factor family. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 91:5046-5050.
 - 10. Bragança, J., Génin, P., Bandu, M.-T., Darracq, N., Vignal, M., Cassé, C., Doly, J. and Civas, A. 1997. Synergism between multiple virus-induced-factor-binding elements involved in the
- 30 differential expression of IFN-A genes. J.Biol.Chem. 272: 22154-22162.
 - 11. Brass, A.L., Kehrli, E., Eisenbeis, C.F., Storb, U. and Singh, H. 1996. Pip, a lymphoid-restricted IRF, contains a regulatory domain that is important for autoinhibition and
- 35 ternary complex formation with the Ets factor PU.1. Genes Dev. 10:2335-2347.

- Chen, H., Lin, R.J., Schiltz, R.L., Chakravarti, D., Nash, A., Nagy, L., Privalsky, M.L., Nakatani, Y. and Evans, R.M.
 1997. Nuclear receptor coactivator ACTR is a noval histone acetyltransferases and forms a multimeric activation complex
 with P/CAF and CBP/p300. Cell 90:569-580.
 - 13. Chrivia, J.C., Kwok, R.P.S., Lamb, N., Hagiwara, M., Montminy, M.R. and Goodman, R.H. 1993. Phosphorylated CREB binds specifically to the nuclear protein CBP. Nature 365:855-859.
- 10 14. Cohen, L. and Hiscott, J. 1992. Characterization of TH3, an induction specific protein interacting with the interferon- β promoter. Virol. 191:589-599.
 - 15. Crepieux, P., Coll, J. and Stehelin, D. 1994. The Ets family of proteins: weak modulators of gene expression in
- quest for transcriptional partners. CritRevOncogen 5:615-638.
 16. Daly, C. and Reich, N.C. 1993. Double-stranded RNA
 activates novel factors that bind to the interferon stimulated
 response element. Mol.Cell.Biol. 13:3756-3764.
 - 17. Darnell Jr., J.E., Kerr, I.M. and Stark, G.R. 1994.
- Jak-STAT pathways and transcriptional activation in response to IFNs and other extracellular signaling proteins. Science 264:1415-1421.
 - 18. Driggers, P.H., Ennist, D.L., Gleason, S.L., Mak, W.-H., Marks, M.S., Levi, B.-Z., Flanagan, J.R., Appella, E. and
- Ozato, K. 1990. An interferon γ-regulated protein that binds the interferon-inducible enhancer element of major histocompatibility complex class I genes. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 87:3743-3747.
 - 19. Eisenbeis, C.F., Singh, H. and Storb, U. 1995. Pip, a
- 30 novel IRF family member, is a lymphoid-specific, PU.1-dependent transcriptional activator. Genes Dev. 9:1377-1387.
 - 20. Escalante, C.R., Yie, J., Thanos, D. and Aggarwal, A.K. 1998. Structure of IRF-1 with bound DNA reveals determinants of interferon regulation. Nature 391:103-106.
- 35 21. Evan, G.I. and Bishop, J.M. 1985. Isolation of monoclonal antibodies specific for the human c-myc proto-oncogene product. Mol.Cell.Biol. 4:2843-2850.

- 22. Fujita, T., Kimura, Y., Miyamoto, M., Barsoumian, E.L. and Taniguchi, T. 1989. Induction of endogenous IFN- α and IFN- β genes by a regulatory transcription factor IRF-1. Nature 337:270-272.
- 5 23. Fujita, T., Sakakibara, J., Sudo, Y., Miyamoto, M., Kimura, Y. and Taniguchi, T. 1988. Evidence for a nuclear factor(s), IRF-1, mediating induction and silencing properties to human IFN- β gene regulatory elements. EMBO J. 7:3397-3405.
 - 24. Garoufalis, E., Kwan, I., Lin, R., Mustafa, A., Pepin, N.,
- 10 Roulston, A., Lacoste, J. and Hiscott, J. 1994. Viral induction of the human interferon β promoter: modulation of transcription by NF-κB/rel proteins and interferon regulatory factors. J.Virol. 68:4707-4715.
 - 25. Gossen, M. and Bujard, H. 1992. Tight control of gene
- expression in mammalian cells by tetracycline-responsive promoters. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 89:5547-5551.
 - 26. Gossen, M., Freundlieb, S., Bender, G., Müller, G., Hillen, W. and Bujard, H. 1995. Transcriptional activation by tetracyclines in mammalian cells. Science 268:1766-1769.
- 20 27. Gu, W. and Roeder, R.G. 1997. Activation of p53 sequence-specific DNA binding by acetylation of p53 C-terminal domain. Cell 90:595-606.
 - 28. Gu, W., Shi, X.L. and Roeder, R.G. 1997. Synergistic activation of transcription by CBP and p53. Nature
- 25 387:819-823.
 - 29. Génin, P., Bragança, J., Darracq, N., Doly, J. and Civas, A. 1995. A novel PRDI and TG binding activity involved in virus-induced transcription of IFN-A genes. NuclAcidRes 23:5055-5063.
- 30 30. Harada, H., Fujita, T., Miyamoto, M., Kimura, Y., Maruyama, M., Furia, A., Miyata, T. and Taniguchi, T. 1989.

 Structurally similar but functionally distinct factors, IRF-1 and IRF-2, bind to the same regulatory elements of IFN and IFN-inducible genes. Cell 58:729-739.
- 35 31. Harada, H., Matsumoto, M., Sato, M., Kashiwazaki, Y., Kimura, T., Kitagawa, M., Yokochi, T., Tan, R.S.-P., Takasugi, T., Kadokawa, Y., Schindler, C., Schreiber, R.D., Noguchi, S.

- and Taniguchi, T. 1996. Regulation of IFN- α/β genes: evidence for a dual function of the transcription factor complex ISGF3 in the production and action of IFN- α/β . GenestoCells 1:995-1005.
- 5 32. Harada, H., Willison, K., Sakakibara, J., Miyamoto, M., Fujita, T. and Taniguchi, T. 1990. Absence of type I IFN system in EC cells: transcriptional activator (IRF-1) and repressor (IRF-2) genes are developmentally regulated. Cell 63:903-913.
- 10 33. Hiscott, J., Nguyen, H. and Lin, R. 1995. Molecular mechanisms of interferon β gene induction. SeminVirol 6:161-173.
 - 34. Holtschke, T., Löhler, J., Kanno, Y., Fehr, T., Giese, N., Rosenbauer, F., Lou, J., Knobeloch, K.-P., Gabriele, L.,
- Waring, J.F., Bachmann, M.F., Zingernagel, R.M., Morse III, H.C., Ozato, K. and Horak, I. 1996. Immunodeficiency and chronic myelogenous leukemia-like syndrome in mice with a targeted mutation of the ICSBP gene. Cell 87:307-317.
 35. Ihle, J.N. 1996. STATs: signal transducers and
- 20 activators of transcription. Cell 84:331-334. 36. Kawakami, T., Matsumoto, M., Sato, M., Harada, H., Taniguchi, T. and Kitigawa, M. 1995. Possible involvement of the transcription factor ISGF3 γ in virus-induced expression of the IFN- β gene. FEBS Lett. 358:225-229.
- 25 37. Kim, T.K. and Maniatis, T. 1998. The mechanism of transcriptional synergy of an in vitro assembled interferon β enhanceosome. Mol.Cell 1:119-129.
 - 38. Kimura, T., Kadokawa, Y., Harada, H., Matsumoto, M., Sato, M., Kashiwazaki, Y., Tarutani, M., Tan, R.S-P., Takasugi, T.,
- 30 Matsuyama, T., Mak, T.M., Noguchi, S. and Taniguchi, T. 1996. Essential and non-redundant roles of p48 (ISGF3γ) and IRF-1 in both type I and type II interferon responses, as revealed by gene targeting studies. GenestoCells 1:115-124.
 - 39. Levy, D.E. 1995. Interferon induction of gene expression
- 35 through the Jak-Stat pathway. SeminVirol 6:181-190.

40. Lill, N.L., Grossman, S.R., Ginsberg, D., DeCaprio, J. and Livingston, D.M. 1997. Binding and modulation of p53 by p300/CBP coactivators. Nature 387:823-827.

38

41. Lin, R., Beauparlant, P., Makris, C., Meloche, S. and
5 Hiscott, J. 1996. Phosphorylation of IκBα in the C-terminal PEST domain by casein kinase II affects intrinsic protein

stability. Mol.Cell.Biol. 16:1401-1409.

element (ISRE). NuclAcidRes 23:2127-2136.

42. Lin, R., Mustafa, A., Nguyen, H. and Hiscott, J. 1994. Mutational analysis of interferon (IFN) regulatory factors 1

- 10 and 2: Effects on the induction of IFN- β gene expression. J.Biol.Chem. 269:17542-17549.
 - 43. Matsuyama, T., Grossman, A., Mittrfcker, H.-W., Siderovski, D.P., Kiefer, F., Kawakami, T., Richardson, C.D., Taniguchi, T., Yoshinaga, S.K. and Mak, T.W. 1995. Molecular cloning of
- 15 LSIRF, a lymphoid-specific member of the interferon regulatory factor family that binds the interferon-stimulated response
 - 44. Matsuyama, T., Kimura, T., Kitagawa, M., Watanabe, N., Kundig, T., Amakawa, R., Kishihara, K., Wakeham, A., Potter,
- J., Furlonger, C., Narendran, A., Suzuki, H., Ohashi, P., Paige, C., Taniguchi, T. and Mak, T. 1993. Targeted disruption of IRF-1 or IRF-2 results in abnormal type I IFN induction and aberrant lymphocyte development. Cell 75:83-97. 45. Merika, M., Williams, A., Chen, G., Collins, T. and Thanos,
- 25 D. 1998. Recruitment of CBP/p300 by the IFN β enhanceosome is required for synergistic activation of transcription. Mol.Cell 1:277-287.
 - 46. Mittrücker, H.-W., Matsuyama, T., Grossman, A., Kündig, T.M., Potter, J., Shahinian, A., Wakeham, A., Patterson, B.,
- 30 Ohashi, P.S. and Mak, T.W. 1997. Requirement for the transcription factor LSIRF/IRF4 for mature B and T lymphocyte function. Science 275:540-543.
 - 47. Miyamoto, M., Fujita, T., Kimura, Y., Maruyama, M., Harada, H., Sudo, Y., Miyata, T. and Taniguchi, T. 1988. Regulated
- 35 expression of a gene encoding a nuclear factor, IRF-1, that specifically binds to the IFN- β gene regulatory elements. Cell 54:903-913.



48. Nguyen, H., Hiscott, J. and Pitha, P.M. 1997. The growing family of IRF transcription factors. CytGrowthFactRev 8:in press.

- 49. Nguyen, H., Lin, R. and Hiscott, J. 1997. Activation of 5 multiple growth regulatory genes following inducible expression of IRF-1 or IRF/RelA fusion proteins. Oncogene 15:1425-1435. 50. Ogryzko, V.V., Schiltz, R.L., Russanova, V., Howard, B.H. and Nakatani, Y. 1996. The transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP are histone acetyltransferases. Cell 87:953-959.
- 10 51. Palombella, V. and Maniatis, T. 1992. Inducible processing of interferon regulatory factor-2. Mol.Cell.Biol. 12:3325-3336.
 - 52. Pitha, P.M. and Au, W.-C. 1995. Induction of interferon α gene expression. SeminVirol 6:151-159.
- 15 53. Read, M.A., Neish, A.S., Luscinskas, F.W., Palombella, V.J., Maniatis, T. and Collins, T. 1995. The proteasome pathway is required for cytokine-induced endothelial-leukocyte adhesion molecule expression. Immunity 2:493-506.
 - 54. Reis, L.F.L., Harada, H., Wolchok, J.D., Taniguchi, T. and
- 20 Vilcek, J. 1992. Critical role of a common transcription factor, IRF-1, in the regulation of IFN- β and IFN-inducible genes. EMBO J. 11:185-193.
 - 55. Russo, J.J., Bohenzky, R.A., Chien, M.-C., Chen, J., Yan, M., Maddalena, D., Parry, J.P., Peruzzi, D., Edelman, I.S.,
- 25 Chang, Y. and Moore, P. 1996. Nucleotide sequence of the kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (HHV8). Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 93:14862-14867.
 - 56. Schafer, S., Lin, R., Moore, P., Hiscott, J. and Pitha, P.M. 1998. Regulation of type 1 interferon gene expression by
- interferon regulatory factor 3. J.Biol.Chem. 273:2714-2720.
 57. Scherer, D.C., Brockman, J.A., Chen, Z., Maniatis, T. and Ballard, D.W. 1995. Signal-induced degradation of IκBα requires site-specific ubiquitination. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 92:11259-11263.
- 35 58. Schindler, C. and Darnell Jr., J.E. 1995. Transcriptional responses to polypeptide ligands: the JAK-STAT pathway.

 Ann.Rev.Biochem. 64:621-651.



- 59. Sharf, R., Meraro, D., Azriel, A., Thornton, A.M., Ozato, K., Petricoin, E.F., Larner, A.C., Schaper, F., Hauser, H. and Levi, B.-Z. 1997. Phosphorylation events modulate the ability of interferon consensus sequence binding protein to interact
- 5 with interferon regulatory factors and to bind DNA. J.Biol.Chem. 272:9785-9792.
 - 60. Thanos, D. and Maniatis, T. 1995. NF- κ B: a lesson in family values. Cell 80:529-532.
 - 61. Thanos, D. and Maniatis, T. 1995. Identification of the
- 10 rel family members required for virus induction of the human β interferon gene. MolCellBiol 15:152-164.
 - 62. Veals, S.A., Schindler, C., Leonard, D., Fu, X.-Y., Aebersold, R., Darnell Jr., J.E. and Levy, D.E. 1992. Subunit of an α -interferon-responsive transcription factor is related
- to interferon regulatory factor and myb families of DNA-binding proteins. MolCellBiol 12:3315-3324.
 - 63. Vilcek, J. and Sen, G. Interferons and other cytokines. In: Virology, edited by Fields, B., Knipe, D.M. and Howley, P.M. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven, 1996, p. 375-399.
- 20 64. Weisz, A., Marx, P., Sharf, R., Appella, E., Driggers, P.H., Ozato, K. and Levi, B.-Z. 1992. Human interferon consensus sequence binding protein is a negative regulator of enhancer elements common to interferon-inducible genes.

 J.Biol.Chem. 267:25589-25596.
- 25 65. Whiteside, S.T., King, P. and Goodbourn, S. 1994. A truncated form of the IRF-2 transcription factor has the properties of a postinduction repressor of interferon-β gene expression. J.Biol.Chem. 269:27059-27065.
 - 66. Yamagata, T., Nishida, J., Tanaka, T., Sakai, R., Mitani,
- 30 K., Yoshida, M., Taniguchi, T., Yazaki, Y. and Hirai, H. 1996. A novel interferon regulatory factor family transcription factor, ICSAT/Pip/LSIRF, that negatively regulates the activity of interferon-regulated genes. MolCellBiol 16:1283-1294. 67. Yoneyama, M., Suhara, W., Fukuhara, Y. and Fujita, T.
- 35 1997. Direct activation of a factor complex composed of IRF-3 and CBP/p300 by virus infection. J.Interferon Cytokine Res. 17:S53.



WO 99/51737

68. Zhang, J.J., Vinkemeier, U., Gu, W., Chakravarti, D., Horvath, C.M. and Darnell, J.E. 1996. Two contact regions between STAT1 and CBP/p300 in interferon γ signalling. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 93:15092-15096.

- 5 69. Zhang, L. and Pagano, J.S. 1997. IRF-7, a new interferon regulatory factor associated with Epstein Barr Virus latency. Mol.Cell.Biol. 17:5748-5757.
 - 70. Au, W.C., Moore, P.A., LaFleur, D.W., Tombal, B. and Pitha, P.M. (1998). Characterization of the interferon
- 10 regulatory factor-7 and its potential role in the transcription activation of interferon A gene. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 29210-29217.
 - 71. Marie, I., Durbin, J.B. and Levy, D.E. (1998). Differential viral induction of distinct interferon- α genes by
- positive feedback through interferon regulatory factor-7. EMBO
 J. 17, 6660-6669.
- 72. Nonkwello C, Ruf IK, Sample J. 1997. Interferonindependent and -induced regulation of Epstein-Barr Virus EBNA-1 gene transcription in Burkitt lymphoma. J. Virol. 71, 6887-20 6897.